Verifying Non-Abelian Statistics by Numerical Braiding Majorana Fermions

Qiu-Bo Cheng,[1,*] Jing He,[2,*] and Su-Peng Kou[1,†]

[1]*Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, P. R. China*
[2]*Department of Physics, Hebei Normal University, Hebei, 050024, P. R. China*

Recently, Majorana fermions (MFs) have attracted intensive attention because of their possible non-Abelian statistics. This paper points out an approach to verify the non-Abelian statistics of MFs in topological superconductors. We introduce a single particle representation of braiding operators that obey anti-commutating relation of Bogolubov-de Gennes (BdG) states. From the relationship between the braiding operator of MFs and that of BdG states, we verify non-Abelian statistics of MFs in 1D and 2D topological SCs.

arXiv:1412.2195v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 4 Jun 2015

Majorana fermions (MFs) γ_i are their own antiparticle and constitute 'half' of ordinary fermions[1–3] that satisfies $\gamma_i = \gamma_i^\dagger$ and $\{\gamma_i, \gamma_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}$. It is still unclear whether MFs exist in nature as elementary building blocks, but in condensed matter systems, MFs may appear as Majorana bound states (MBSs)[4–18]. Recently, due to their potential applications in topological quantum computation (TQC)[19, 21–23, 26], the search for exotic states supporting MFs has attracted increasing interest in condensed matter physics. Possible example of such quantum exotic states is the p_x+ip_y topological superconductor (SC). The quantized vortex (π-flux) in two dimensional (2D) $p_x + ip_y$ topological SCs trap MBSs[24–27]. Another creative proposal is the interface of s-wave SCs and topological insulators due to the proximity effect[5], in which a quantized vortex may also trap MBSs. In addition, a new type of MBSs proposed by Kitaev occurs in a one-dimensional (1D) electronic nanowire proximity-coupled to a bulk superconductor[4]. For this case, two unpaired Majorana zero modes appear at the two ends of the nanowire. In Ref.[28], MBSs are also found to be trapped by line defects of p-wave superconductors on a honeycomb lattice.

In Ref.[24], it was pointed out that the MFs trapped by vortices in $p_x + ip_y$-wave topological SC obey non-Abelian statistics[29, 30]. After exchanging two MFs in the 2D topological SC, the braiding operation can be represented by $\gamma_1 \to \gamma_2$, $\gamma_2 \to -\gamma_1$[25]. For the MFs at the ends of line-defect in SCs, the braiding operations were illustrated along T-junction pathes[11]. Based on the arguments in Ref.[11], the line-defect-induced MFs were found to obey non-Abelian statistics. As a result, the MFs in different models (both vortex-induced MFs and the line-defect-induced MFs) were believed to obey the same type of non-Abelian statistics. In this paper, we will introduce a numerical approach to verify the statistics of MFs. The results will be helpful to learn the properties of topological SCs.

In certain topological SCs, MFs with zero energy (the Majorana zero modes) may emerge around topological defects (for example, the quantized vortex or the 1D nano-wire). In general, people can obtain the function $|\gamma\rangle$ of an *emergent* Majorana zero mode by solving the Bogolubov-de Gennes (BdG) equations numerically. To describe the Majorana zero mode, a real fermion field called Majorana fermion $\gamma = \int dr[u_0\psi^* + v_0\psi]$ ($\gamma^\dagger = \gamma$) is introduced. To describe the subspace of the system with two degenerate BdG states (Majorana zero modes) $\Phi_1(x)$, $\Phi_2(x)$ (that correspond to two MFs (γ_1, γ_2)), we introduce the Fermion-parity operator $\hat{\mathrm{P}} = (-i\gamma_1\gamma_2)$. Since $\hat{\mathrm{P}}^2 = 1$, $\hat{\mathrm{P}}$ has two eigenvalues ±1, called even and odd Fermion-parities, respectively. In a 2D gapped SC, the quantum eigen-states including Majorana modes must have a determinant parity. Then, we label a pair of MFs (γ_1, γ_2) by a complex fermion as $\gamma_1 = c + c^\dagger$, $\gamma_2 = -i(c - c^\dagger)$ where $c^\dagger/c$ is the creation/annihilation operator of spinless fermion. With the help of c and $c^\dagger$, two Majoarna modes (γ_1, γ_2) form the physical Fermion-parity qubit: $|0\rangle$ is a many-body quantum state with even Fermion-parity and $c^\dagger|0\rangle = |1\rangle$ is a many-body quantum state with odd Fermion-parity. Generally, there exists the coupling between two MFs and the effective Hamiltonian is given by

$$i\mathcal{J}\gamma_1\gamma_2 = 2\mathcal{J}\left(c^\dagger c - \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{J}$ is the coupling constant.

To distinguish the statistics for the MFs, we firstly show their braiding operations in many-body representation. In quantum mechanics, there are two possibilities of the *many-body* wave-function to change by a $\pm$ sign under a single particle-interchange (the so-called braiding operation in 2D systems), corresponding to the cases of bosons and fermions, respectively. Then after braiding two identical particles, the many-body wave-function $|\psi_{\text{initial}}\rangle = a^\dagger(\mathbf{r_1})a^\dagger(\mathbf{r_2})|0\rangle$ changes by a phase to be $|\psi_{\text{initial}}\rangle \to |\psi_{\text{final}}\rangle = e^{i\theta}a^\dagger(\mathbf{r_2})a^\dagger(\mathbf{r_1})|0\rangle$. $\theta = 0, \pi$ correspond to bosons and fermions, respectively.

However, because the emergent MFs are non-local and there is no generation operator for MFs, people cannot do the braiding operations by local operators. Instead, people do the braiding operation on MFs by adiabatically deforming the system, for example, changing the length of a 1D nano-wire or moving the vortices. That means *the braiding operations for MFs are really adiabatic evolu-*

*These authors contributed equally to this paper.
†Corresponding author; Electronic address: spkou@bnu.edu.cn

tions of the system. According to the results in Ref.[25], if we exchange two MFs with non-local π phase strings, the resulting braiding operation is given by

$$\gamma_1 \to \gamma_2, \gamma_2 \to -\gamma_1 \tag{2}$$

that can be described by an "unitary" transformation $U_{ij} = e^{\frac{\pi}{4}\gamma_i\gamma_j}$[25]. For three MFs γ_i, γ_j, γ_k, due to $[U_{ij},\, U_{jk}] \neq 0$ and $\{U_{ij},\, U_{jk}\} \neq 0$, an adiabatic braiding operation obviously shows a non-Abelian character of the MFs. On the basis of many-body Majorana states $\begin{pmatrix} |1\rangle \\ |0\rangle \end{pmatrix}$, the resulting braiding operator is $e^{-i\pi\sigma^z/4}$. Based on braiding operations on Ising anyons that obey non-Abelian statistics, people can do the Hadamard gate, the phase gate, and the CNOT gate topologically except for the $\pi/8$ gate[23, 31, 32].

Because all energy levels in the topological superconductors can be obtained by numerical method accurately, we can also explore non-Abelian statistics of MFs from BdG states in single particle representation. In particular, during braiding operations, the adiabatic evolutions of the degenerate energy levels (BdG states) with a pair of MFs $\Phi_1(x)$, $\Phi_2(x)$ lead to a nontrivial change, $|\psi_{\rm initial}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} |\gamma_1\rangle \\ |\gamma_2\rangle \end{pmatrix} \to |\psi_{\rm final}\rangle = \mathcal{R}\begin{pmatrix} |\gamma_1\rangle \\ |\gamma_2\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} |\gamma_1'\rangle \\ |\gamma_2'\rangle \end{pmatrix}$. Owning to the conservation rule of Fermion-parities, the mixing of diagonalized BdG states $|+\rangle = (|\gamma_1\rangle + i\,|\gamma_2\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ and $|-\rangle = (|\gamma_1\rangle - i\,|\gamma_2\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ is forbidden. Then due to the particle-hole (PH) symmetry, the final BdG functions of the Majorana modes to which the system returns after the braiding is identical to the initial one, up to a phase,

$$|\psi_{\rm initial}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} |+\rangle \\ |-\rangle \end{pmatrix} \to |\psi_{\rm final}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i(\varphi_{\rm Dynamical}+\varphi_{\rm Berry})}\,|+\rangle \\ e^{-i(\varphi_{\rm Dynamical}+\varphi_{\rm Berry})}\,|-\rangle \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

where the Barry phase $\varphi_{\rm Berry}$ does not depend on how long the process takes and the dynamical phase $\varphi_{\rm Dynamical} = \int_0^{\Delta \rm t} J(\rm t)d\rm t$ depends on the energy of the BdG states $J(\rm t)$ and the length of time for the process Δt.

Let us derive their braiding operators for BdG states in single particle representation. When we consider the initial BdG states $|\psi_{\rm i}\rangle$ to be $\begin{pmatrix} |\gamma_1\rangle \\ |\gamma_2\rangle \end{pmatrix}$, after the braiding operation, $2 \longleftrightarrow 1$, the final BdG states $|\psi_{\rm f}\rangle$ turn into $\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\phi_1}\,|\gamma_2\rangle \\ e^{i\phi_2}\,|\gamma_1\rangle \end{pmatrix}$, where $e^{i\phi_1}$ and $e^{i\phi_2}$ are phase factors to be solved. On the other hand, when we consider the initial BdG states $|\psi_{\rm i}\rangle$ to be $\begin{pmatrix} |+\rangle \\ |-\rangle \end{pmatrix}$, after the braiding operation, $+ \longleftrightarrow -$, due to PH symmetry the final BdG states $|\psi_{\rm f}\rangle$ turn into $\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\varphi}\,|+\rangle \\ e^{-i\varphi}\,|-\rangle \end{pmatrix}$. Here ϕ_1, ϕ_2 and φ are real numbers to be determined. From the definition of $\begin{pmatrix} |+\rangle \\ |-\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} |\gamma_1\rangle - i\,|\gamma_2\rangle \\ |\gamma_1\rangle + i\,|\gamma_2\rangle \end{pmatrix}/\sqrt{2}$, we can obtain two solutions: one is $\phi_1 = \pi$, $\phi_2 = 0$, $\varphi = -\frac{\pi}{2}$, the other is $\phi_1 = 0$, $\phi_2 = \pi$, $\varphi = \frac{\pi}{2}$. In this paper, due to equivalence property, we focus on the first solution. As a result, on the basis of $\begin{pmatrix} |+\rangle \\ |-\rangle \end{pmatrix}$, the braiding operator for BdG states becomes $\mathcal{R} = e^{-i\pi\sigma^z/2}$. If we consider the initial BdG states to be $|\psi_{\rm i}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} |\gamma_1\rangle \\ |\gamma_2\rangle \end{pmatrix}$, after the braiding operation, the final BdG states are $\begin{pmatrix} -|\gamma_2\rangle \\ |\gamma_1\rangle \end{pmatrix}$.

In addition, we can represent the braiding operation for BdG states by an "unitary" transformation $\mathcal{R}_{ij} = e^{\frac{\pi}{2}\gamma_i\gamma_j} = \gamma_i\gamma_j$. For three MFs γ_i, γ_j, γ_k, we found an anti-commutating relation as $\{\mathcal{R}_{ij}, \mathcal{R}_{jk}\} = 0$. As a result, we can check the "statistics" of BdG states to verify the non-Abelian statistics of MFs. In particular, the direct relationship between the braiding operation U_{ij} and $\mathcal{R}$ is

$$\gamma_k \to \gamma_k' = U_{ij}^\dagger \gamma_k U_{ij} = \mathcal{R}_{ij}\gamma_k.$$

Based on two typical topological SCs, 1D p-wave topological SC and 2D $p_x + ip_y$-wave topological SC, we verify the non-Abelian statistics of emergent MFs in SCs by simulating the braiding processes in BdG representation numerically. To characterize the braiding process, we introduce two parameters, the amplitude of the function-overlap $\mathcal{O} = |\langle\psi_{\rm i}|\,\psi_{\rm f}\rangle|$ and the relative Berry phase $\Phi = |\varphi_{1\rm B} - \varphi_{0\rm B}|$. If we get $\mathcal{O} = 1$ and $\Phi = \pi$, MFs obey non-Abelian statistics. Therefore, we can distinguish the statistics for the MFs by calculating $\mathcal{O}$ and Φ.

The first model is 1D p-wave SC on T-junction, which consists of two parts, a "$-$"-line (A$\leftrightarrow$C, of which the length is L_-) and a "$|$"-line (D$\leftrightarrow$B of which the length is $L_|$). See the illustration in Fig.1.(a). The pair order parameter on "$-$"-line is Δ and the pair order parameter on "$|$"-line is $i\Delta$. The Hamiltonian of the system can be written as $H = H_- + H_| + H_D$ where

$$\begin{aligned} H_- &= -J\sum_{j=1}^{L_--1} c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j + \Delta\sum_{j=1}^{L_--1} c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j^\dagger + h.c. - \sum_{j=1}^{L_-}\mu_j c_j^\dagger c_j, \\ H_| &= -J\sum_{j=1}^{L_|-1} a_{j+1}^\dagger a_j + i\Delta\sum_{j=1}^{L_|-1} a_{j+1}^\dagger a_j^\dagger + h.c - \sum_{j=1}^{L_|}\mu_j a_j^\dagger a_j, \\ H_D &= J a_{j=1}^\dagger c_{j_D} + i\Delta a_{j=1}^\dagger c_{j_D}^\dagger + h.c. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where c_j (a_j) is the annihilation operator of spinless fermions on "$-$($|$)"-line and j_D denotes the touching point of "$|$"-line on "$-$"-line (D point in Fig.1.(a)). J is the hopping strength, Δ is the SC pairing order parameter and μ_i is the on-site chemical potential, respectively. In the followings, we choose $\Delta = J$ and the lattice constant is set to be unit.

For the case of $|\mu| < 2t$, the ground state is a topological SC; for the case of $|\mu| > 2t$, the ground state is a non-topological SC. In Fig.1.(a), we denote the topological p-wave SC by blue line and non-topological p-wave SC by red line. As a result, there always exist a pair of



FIG. 1: (color online) (a) Illustration of T-junction and T-shape braiding path. We denote the topological p-wave SC by blue line and non-topological p-wave SC by red line. As a result, there always exist a pair of MFs (γ_1, γ_2) at two ends of blue lines; (b) The energy gap of the system, ΔE_f. The inset is the energy E_0 of Majorana state $|0\rangle$; (c) The function-overlap $\mathcal{O} = |\langle\psi_\mathrm{i}|\,\psi_\mathrm{f}\rangle|$ of the Majorana modes during the adiabatic evolutions (the dotted line denotes 1); (d) The relative Berry phase Φ (the dotted lines denotes π). In all these figures, we choosing the parameters as $\Delta = J$.

MFs (γ_1, γ_2) at two ends of topological p-wave SC (a blue line). For the initial state ($\mathrm{t} = \mathrm{t}_0$), we set $\mu = -0.7J$ on "$-$"-line and $\mu = -10J$ on "$|$"-line. Thus, the p-wave SC on "$-$"-line is topological; while the p-wave SC on "$|$"-line is non-topological. By numerical calculations on T-junction with $L_- = 20$, $L_| = 10$, we find that there are the BdG states of two MFs ($|\gamma_1\rangle$, $|\gamma_2\rangle$) near A and C, respectively.

In the following parts we will show how to braid the two MFs (γ_1, γ_2) at two ends of "$-$"-line. As shown in Fig.1.(a), we can move γ_1 away from A by tuning the on-site chemical potential on T-shape lattice. Thus, braiding two MFs (γ_1, γ_2) is a three-step process: step 1 is to move MF γ_1 from A to B through D as A$\rightarrow$D$\rightarrow$B during the time period $\mathrm{t}_1 - \mathrm{t}_0$; step 2 is to move γ_2 from C to A through D during the time period $\mathrm{t}_2 - \mathrm{t}_1$; step 3 is to move γ_1 from B to C through D during the time period $\mathrm{t}_f - \mathrm{t}_2$.

Then, we adiabatically braid the MFs step-by-step by choosing the T-shape path. During the braiding process, the minimum value of energy gap ΔE_f is about $0.9J$ that protected the topological properties of the system and the stability of the MFs and the maximum value of the energy $E_0 = \mathcal{J}/2$ ($E_1 = -\mathcal{J}/2$) of Majorana state $|+\rangle$ ($|-\rangle$) is about $10^{-5}J$ that may lead to a small dynamical phase. After calculating $\mathcal{T}\{\exp[i\int_{t_0}^{t_f} H(t)dt]\,|\psi_\mathrm{i}\rangle\}$, we derive the dynamical phase and Berry phase of the Majorana zero modes during the braiding process. Here, $\mathcal{T}$ is the time-ordered-product operator. To guarantee the adiabatic condition, $\Delta\mathrm{t} \gg \hbar/\Delta E_f$, the time period Δt for moving an MF one lattice constant is very large, $\Delta\mathrm{t} = 10000\hbar/J$. The total time period for the braiding operation is $\mathrm{t}_f - \mathrm{t}_0 = 58\Delta\mathrm{t}$ and $\mathrm{t}_1 - \mathrm{t}_0 = \mathrm{t}_2 - \mathrm{t}_1 = 19\Delta\mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{t}_f - \mathrm{t}_2 = 20\Delta\mathrm{t}$.

The final results are given in Fig.1.(c) and Fig.1.(d). For the initial state $|\psi_\mathrm{i}\rangle$ to be $|+\rangle$ (or $|-\rangle$), after the braiding operation, we get $\Phi = \pi$ that means the Berry phases $\varphi_{1\mathrm{B}}$ ($\varphi_{0\mathrm{B}}$) is $-\pi/2$ (or $\pi/2$). From Fig.1.(d), one can see that the relative Berry phase Φ changes abruptly during MF γ_2 crossing D point. The fidelity $|\langle\psi_\mathrm{i}|\,\psi_\mathrm{f}\rangle|$ is very close to 100%. As a result, we verify the non-Abelian-statistics of MFs in 1D p-wave topological SC system .

The second model is $p_x + ip_y$-wave SC, of which the Hamiltonian can be written as[24]

$$H = -\sum_j \sum_{\widehat{\mu}=\widehat{x},\widehat{y}} J_j(c^\dagger_{j+\widehat{\mu}}c_j + c^\dagger_{j-\widehat{\mu}}c_j)/2 - \mu\sum_j c^\dagger_j c_j + \sum_j [\Delta_j(c^\dagger_{j+\widehat{x}}c^\dagger_j + ic^\dagger_{j+\widehat{y}}c^\dagger_j)/2 + h.c.], \quad (5)$$

where $c^\dagger_j/c_j$ is the creation/annihilation operator of spinless fermion. J_j is the hopping strength, Δ_j is the SC pairing order parameter and μ is the chemical potential, respectively. In the followings, we choosing the parameters as $|\Delta_j| = |J_j| = J$, $\mu = J$. Now the ground state is a topological SC with non-zero Chern number. The lattice constant is also set to be unit.

In the topological phase of $p_x + ip_y$-wave SC, we study two MFs (γ_1, γ_2) around two quantized vortices (π-fluxes) by numerical calculations on a 20×20 lattice. The dotted line denotes the phase branch-cut of the two π-fluxes (we call it A-B-C π-phase string), along which the hopping parameters and the pairing order parameters change sign, $J_j \rightarrow -J_j$, $\Delta_j \rightarrow -\Delta_j$. Thus, there exists a Majorana zero mode around each end of the π-phase string. See the particle density distribution of Majorana modes ($|\gamma_1\rangle$, $|\gamma_2\rangle$) around π-fluxes in Fig.2.(a).

To braid the two MFs (γ_1, γ_2), we choose a $\square$-shape path rather than traditional T-shape path. As shown in Fig.2.(b), we anticlockwise move two MFs (γ_1, γ_2) through a two-step process: step 1 is to move MF γ_1 from A to B together with moving MF γ_2 from C to D during the time period $\mathrm{t}_1 - \mathrm{t}_0$; step 2 is to move MF γ_1 from B to C together with moving MF γ_2 from D to A during the time period $\mathrm{t}_f - \mathrm{t}_1$. However, after the two-step braiding process, the A-B-C π-phase string changes into an C-D-A π-phase string. Thus, to return the initial configuration, we have to deform the C-D-A π-phase string to A-B-C π-phase string by doing local Z2 transformation on the fermion fields inside the $\square$-shape closed loop A-B-C-D, $c_j \rightarrow -c_j$. Eventually, we adiabatically do the braiding operation.

Then we derive the Berry phases of the Majorana states $|+\rangle$ and $|-\rangle$ by calculating $\mathcal{T}\{\exp[i\int_{t_0}^{t_f} H(t)dt](\begin{smallmatrix}|+\rangle\\|-\rangle\end{smallmatrix})\}$. In numerical calculations, the time period for moving an MF one lattice constant is



FIG. 2: (color online) (a) The particle density distribution of Majorana modes ($|\gamma_1\rangle$, $|\gamma_2\rangle$) around two π-fluxes in $p_x + ip_y$ topological superconductor. The dotted line denotes A-B-C π-phase string that connects the two MFs; (b) The $\square$-shape path of two-step braiding process: I→II ($t_0 < t < t_1$) and II→III ($t_1 < t < t_f$). III→IV denotes the string deformation; (c) The function-overlap $\mathcal{O} = |\langle\psi_i|\,\psi_f\rangle|$ of the Majorana modes during the adiabatic evolutions (the dotted line denotes 1); (d) The relative Berry phase Φ (the dotted lines denotes π). In all these figures, we choosing the parameters as $\Delta = J$, $\mu = J$. The last spots of the data in (c) and (d) are obtained from string deformation rather than braiding operations.

$\Delta t = 400\hbar/J$ (or the total time period for the braiding operation is $t_f - t_0 = 20\Delta t$ and $t_1 - t_0 = t_f - t_1 = 10\Delta t$). During the braiding operation, the energy gap of the system is always $\Delta E_f \equiv 2J$ and the energy splitting between two BdG states of Majorana modes is extremely tiny, $\max \mathcal{J} < 10^{-7}J$. As a result, the dynamical phases of the Majorana states $|1\rangle$ and $|0\rangle$ are about $\pm 10^{-5}\pi$ that are too small to cause errors to Berry phase calculations.

The results of the amplitude of the function-overlap $\mathcal{O} = |\langle\psi_i|\,\psi_f\rangle|$ and those of the relative Berry phase $\Phi = |\varphi_{1B} - \varphi_{0B}|$ are given in Fig.2.(c) and Fig.2.(d), respectively. From Fig.2.(d), one can see that the relative Berry phase is about $\Phi = 0.9998\pi$ which really closes to π. The fidelity $\mathcal{O} = |\langle\psi_i|\,\psi_f\rangle|$ is up to 99.98%. As a result, MFs induced by the vortices in $p_x + ip_y$ topological SC obey non-Abelian statistics.

Finally, we draw the conclusion. In this paper we found that the non–Abelian statistics of MFs can be represented by the anti-commutating relation of BdG states ($\{\mathcal{R}_{ij},\ \mathcal{R}_{jk}\} = 0$). From the relationship between the braiding operator of MFs and that of BdG states, we develop an numerical method to verifying non-Abelian statistics of MFs in 1D and 2D topological SCs. The numerical results exactly confirm our prediction.

* * *

This work is supported by National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) under the grant No. 2011CB921803, 2012CB921704 and NSFC Grant No.11174035, 11474025, 11404090 and SRFDP, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

[1] E. Majorana, Soryushiron Kenkyu **63** 149 (1981).
[2] F. Wilczek, Nature Phys. **5** 614 (2009).
[3] M. Leijnse and K. Flensberg, arXiv:1206.1736.
[4] A.Y. Kitaev, Phys. Usp. **44**, 131 (2001).
[5] L. Fu and C.L. Kane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 096407 (2008).
[6] R. M. Lutchyn, et.al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 077001 (2010).
[7] Y. Oreg, et.al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 177002 (2010).
[8] J. D. Sau, et.al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 040502 (2010).
[9] J. Alicea, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 125318 (2010).
[10] A. C. Potter and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 227003 (2010).
[11] J. Alicea, et.al, Nature Phys. **7**, 412 (2011).
[12] B. I. Halperin, et.al, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 144501 (2012).
[13] T. D. Stanescu and S. Tewari, J. Phys. C **25**, 233201 (2013).
[14] V. Mourik, et.al, Science **336**, 1003 (2012).
[15] A. Das, et.al, Nature Phys. **8**, 887 (2012).
[16] M. T. Deng, et.al, Nano Lett. **12**, 6414 (2012).
[17] L. P. Rokhinson, et.al, Nat. Phys. **8**, 795 (2012).
[18] H. O. H. Churchill, et.al, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 241401(R) (2013).
[19] A. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. **321**, 2 (2006).
[20] C. Nayak, et.al, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 1083 (2008).
[21] M. H. Freedman, et.al, Math. Phys. **227**, 605 (2002).
[22] S. Das Sarma, et.al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 166802 (2005).
[23] L. S. Georgiev, Phys. Rev. **B 74**, 235112 (2006); L. S. Georgiev, Nucl. Phys. B **789**, 552 (2008).
[24] N. Read and D. Green, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 10267 (2000).
[25] D. A. Ivanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 268 (2001).
[26] C. Nayak, et.al, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 1083 (2008).
[27] J. Alicea, Rep. Prog. Phys. **75**, 076501 (2012).
[28] Y. J. Wu, et.al, Phys. Rev. A. **90**, 022324 (2014).
[29] G. Moore and N. Read, Nucl. Phys. B **360**, 362 (1991).
[30] Xiao-Gang Wen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 802 (1991).
[31] C. Nayak, & F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. B **479**, 529-553 (1996).
[32] M. Freedman, et.al, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 245307 (2006).